Scrutiny Improvement Action Plan

		Scrutiny E	nvironment	
No.	Area for Improvement	Proposed Actions	By when/date for review	By whom
engagement interaction w	Improve public engagement and interaction with scrutiny (links to nos.	1.1 Review seating arrangements at scrutiny meeting	Completed (revised seating arrangements used as and when the Committee Chair feels it's appropriate)	SCVCG
	8 & 9 below)	1.2 Develop a short information leaflet on 'scrutiny' to be available to the public at scrutiny meetings (similar to the one produced by Wrexham CBC)	Completed and leaflet available	Scrutiny Coordinator
		1.3 SCVCG to discuss potential methods for raising scrutiny's profile amongst residents	Initial discussions underway. Corporate Facebook and Twitter accounts used periodically to advise the public of items of interest and to provide evidence of impact of budget cuts for the Cutting Our Cloth Task and Finish Group	SCVCG

		1.4 Develop a protocol/memorandum of understanding for public interaction with scrutiny	December 2016 (in time for new Council)	SCVCG and Democratic Services Officers
2.	Improve and enhance Scrutiny and Executive members' interaction and roles in actively promoting scrutiny's role, its value and benefits both internally and	2.1 SCVCG members to discuss with Cabinet arrangements for Lead Members' attendance and role at scrutiny committee meetings (including the feasibility of Lead Members presenting reports to scrutiny)	Completed – Lead Members are now invited if appropriate to attend scrutiny meetings to present and answer questions	Scrutiny Coordinator
	externally	2.2 links to 2.1 above. SCVCG to discuss ways of actively promoting the benefits of scrutiny internally and externally (e.g. utilising former scrutiny chairs and vice-chairs who now sit on Cabinet to promote scrutiny's benefits as part of their roles)	Continual – the benefits of scrutiny outlined during the presentation of the Scrutiny Committees' Annual Report to Annual Council in May 2015 and 2016. Presentation to County Council on 18 October 2016 on 'Strengthening Scrutiny in Denbighshire' in a bid to further improve and enhance scrutiny's internal and external profile. - Regular interaction with	SCVCG/Scrutiny Coordinator

			Cabinet members at scrutiny meetings and through the SCVCG meeting periodically with the Leader	
		2.3 utilise the presentation of the National Scrutiny Improvement Study report to Council Briefing as an opportunity to highlight to all county councillors how they can promote scrutiny to their constituents and community groups	The recommendations from the National Study were incorporated into the presentation at Annual Council (May 2015) of the Scrutiny Committees' Annual Report. The presentation included the promotion of the 'Member Proposal Form' which any county councillor can complete and submit if he/she thinks a subject merits scrutiny's input. Presentation to County Council on 18 October 2016 on 'Strengthening Scrutiny in Denbighshire' with a view to seeking councillors to promote the role and benefits of scrutiny to constituents.	SCVCG/Democratic Services Manager/Scrutiny Coordinator
3.	Consider the resources allocated	(Peer Assessment Team questioned Denbighshire's	completed via the Freedoms and Flexibilities process, but due to	Head of Legal & Democratic Services and Democratic
	to dedicated scrutiny	capacity to deliver the powers and duties conferred on scrutiny under	financial constraints no additional resources can be made available	Services Manager (Head of

support with a view	the Local Government (Wales)	at present or for the foreseeable	Democratic Services)
	Measure with only one dedicated	future.	
optimum potential to	scrutiny officer)		
	3.1 Consideration to be given as part of the budget setting process to the level of dedicated scrutiny support required in future (having regard to financial constraints and		
	legislative requirements)		

	Scrutiny Practice				
No.	Area for Improvement	Proposed Actions	By when/date for review	By whom	
4.	4 (i) Highlight the importance of topic selection/ prioritisation to all scrutiny members	Scrutiny Chairs and Scrutiny Coordinator to emphasise to committees on a regular basis the importance of topic selection and prioritisation. The need to link to Council's corporate priorities, community/partners' needs, be outcome focussed to enable the impact of scrutiny to be evaluated, also to be emphasised (training events to be arranged on chairing, questioning and work programming skills as and when required)	SCVCG has issued guidance to the scrutiny committees on the areas which scrutiny should focus on for the term of this Council. This guidance appears in every Scrutiny Work Programme report presented to the committees. A new Members' Proposal Form process has been introduced which seeks members to clearly stipulate why a topic merits scrutiny's input and how scrutiny can add value to the desired outcome. All requests, be they officer or member requests, and request from the public are considered by either the Committee or the SCVCG (the latter considers the majority of requests) who apply a strict test, called the 'PAPER' test, to determine whether the subject	Scrutiny Chairs and Vice Chairs/Scrutiny Coordinator	

			does merit scrutiny's time. Training sessions on chairing, questioning and work programming skills have been offered, but a couple were poorly attended or had to be cancelled. Training on 'Effective Scrutiny for Positive Outcomes' held by WLGA on 14 July 2016 – well attended Training programme for new Council in May 2017 – this programme will include training events on scrutiny specific skills.	
	4(ii) Topics to be carefully scoped and officers to conform with brief given	Committees to clearly define the reasons why a report is required, what information is expected, the desired outcomes from scrutinising the subject and the value scrutiny can add	Continual See 4.1 above (will form part of regular training programme)	Scrutiny Coordinator and Scrutiny Chairs and Vice Chairs
5.	Enhancing scrutiny's effectiveness by applying effective questioning, listening and analytical skills	5.1 In conjunction with the Democratic Services Manager commission chairing, questioning, work programming and other required scrutiny specific	April 2015 - completed (events held on chairing, questioning and work programming skills during 2014/15 and 'Effective Scrutiny	Scrutiny Coordinator and the Democratic Services Manager

	training from providers such as the WLGA, CfPS and possible observation sessions at other local authorities	for Positive Outcomes' in July 2016 – see 4(i) above). Any future events will have to be facilitated internally, commissioned or held on a regional basis as funding to the CfPS in Wales by WG has been withdrawn and funding to the WLGA for such work has been cut	
6. Improve work planning methods with external bodies with a view to aligning scrutiny work programmes to achieve maximum benefits for all concerned	6.1 Discuss work programmes with Business Improvement & Modernisation and external regulators/auditors/inspectors to see if scrutiny committees' work plans need to be realigned (having regard to the Corporate Governance Committee's role with respect to regulators' reports)	continual – some preliminary enquiries made. However, this work will be on-going as the focus of audit and regulatory work changes in future and the proposals contained in the WG's White Paper on Reforming Local Government: Power to Local People are implemented. Some initial discussions took place on the interface between scrutiny and external auditors, inspectors and regulators, at the 'Many Hands' Conference in Llandrindod in March 2015 Work on this area will continue via the SCVCG and the interaction with the Corporate Governance	Scrutiny Coordinator

	ommunity Plan Continual the provisions of the	Scrutiny Coordinator
partners (PSI annual basis strategies/pla intend to intron within the	'Future Generations Bill' with respect of scrutinising PSBs are currently being examined. In the meantime the LSB/PSB's Strategic Well-being Plan continues to be monitored by Partnerships Scrutiny Committee. Report on arrangements for the establishment of the new Public Service Board and its scrutiny arrangements considered by Partnerships Scrutiny Committee on 14 January 2016. Implications of the Future Generations Act and how the delivery of its outcomes will be	
	embedded in the Council's work are being monitored by the SCVCG – latest monitoring undertaken in September 2016, next monitoring due in March 2017. Report templates have been modified to ensure that all	

			report authors have considered undertaking a Well-being Impact Assessment. PSBs are now required to consult with scrutiny on their Well-being Plans. This will take place once the needs assessment has been completed On-going - further report on potential options for scrutiny of the PSB was due to be discussed by the PSB in September 2016	
7	Apply varied scrutiny methods with a view to realising maximum benefits and adding value to the outcome	When appropriate make more use of alternative scrutiny methods tailored to the desired outcomes to be achieved (e.g. Task and Groups/Working Groups, Scrutiny Service Leads, expert witnesses etc.)	Underway and Continual (more use of task and finish groups made during recent years. The need now is to utilise such groups in future to engage with the public and seek their views/evidence e.g. the Cutting Our Cloth T&F Group has sought public input on how certain service changes have affected them etc.)	Scrutiny Chairs/individual committees/Scrutiny Coordinator

	Impact of Scrutiny				
No.	Area for Improvement	Proposed Actions	By when/date for review	By whom	
8.	Developing scrutiny's role in scrutinising external bodies (where	8.1 Update/Develop, on a North Wales basis, protocols/memorandums of understanding (MoU) for scrutinising external bodies	Partners and external bodies are currently invited to attend scrutiny as and when required	Scrutiny Coordinator/North Wales Scrutiny Officers Network/SCVCG	
	appropriate on a collaborative/joint basis) and monitoring policy recommendations	and for joint scrutiny of external partners (based on the Framework developed by Denbighshire in 2009)	Proposals for scrutinising aspects of GwE's work and the new PSB currently under consideration		
	Also links to no. 1 above		Ongoing – due for review April 2017		
		8.2 obtain the agreement of all parties/partners to the protocols/MoU	April 2017 (not achieved to date as awaiting confirmation of the final arrangements for scrutinising the Joint PSB/GwE etc – work has been undertaken regionally in South Wales to develop a joint scrutiny handbook which was shared on a national basis in March 2015) WG have recently commissioned Public Governance Wales to	Scrutiny Coordinator/North Wales Scrutiny Officers Network/SCVCG	

			undertake work to research and develop a guidance on the scrutiny of PSBs. This work will be undertaken during the autumn/winter of 2016/17 with a view to publish the Guidance in early 2017 (possibly February 2017) Linked to 8.1 above	
9.	Improve public engagement and interaction (see also no.1 above)	- review scrutiny page(s) on Council website to improve public information and make scrutiny accessible - Set-up a Twitter account and include a link on the webpage	As per no.1 above April 2017 (Scrutiny Twitter account now amalgamated with the Corporate Twitter account) Some use made of the Corporate Facebook page for Cutting our Cloth Task and Finish Group inquiry SCVCG will explore this area in more detail during the remainder of the Council's term of office and in readiness for the new Council in May 2017	SCVCG and Democratic Services Officer

	Outcomes and Characteristics of Effective Local Government Overview and Scrutiny					
No.	Area for Improvement	Proposed Actions	By when/date for review	By whom		
10	Adoption of the Outcomes and Characteristics for effective scrutiny as detailed in appendix 2 to the Wales Audit Office report 'Good Scrutiny? Good Question' (May 2014)	SCVCG to adopt the characteristics	Completed The Outcomes and Characteristics for Effective Scrutiny were used as the basis for the scrutiny self- evaluation exercise undertaken during the spring of 2015 and 2016	SCVCG/Head of Democratic Services/Scrutiny Coordinator		